Journal of Medical Laboratory and Diagnosis

Volume 4 Number 4 October 2013 ISSN 2141-2618



ABOUT JMLD

The **Journal of Medical Laboratory and Diagnosis (JMLD)** is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

The Journal of Medical Laboratory and Diagnosis (JMLD) is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as anatomic pathology, clinical microbiology, hematology, urinalysis etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JMLD are peer-reviewed.

Submission of Manuscript

Submit manuscripts as e-mail attachment to the Editorial Office at: jmld@academicjournals.org. A manuscript number will be mailed to the corresponding author shortly after submission.

The Journal of Medical Laboratory and Diagnosis (JMLD) will only accept manuscripts submitted as e-mail attachments.

Please read the **Instructions for Authors** before submitting your manuscript. The manuscript files should be given the last name of the first author.

Editors

Dr. Mamatha Ballal

Department of Microbiology K M C International Centre Manipal - 576104 India.

Dr. Thazin Han

Department of Biotechnology Technological University, Myit-Kyi-Na Myanmar, India.

Dr. Diriba Muleta

Department of Biology, Jimma University P.O. Box 378, Jimma, Ethiopia.

Dr. Zakaria Yehia El-Astal

Khan Younis Hospital, Al-Baher Street, Palestine.

Dr. Mohd. Shahid

Department of Medical Microbiology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College & Hospital Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002 India.

Dr.Rashmi Khanna

Rajasthan Dental College & Hospital, Dept of Periodontics. India

Dr. M. OBulesu

S/O M.JAGAN MOHAN D.NO: 14/302, KAMALA NAGAR ANANTAPUR -515001 ANDHRA PRADESH. INDIA.

Yu Liu, MD

Department of Clinical and Laboratory Medicine The Fourth Affiliated Hospital, Harbin Medical University Yiyuan Road, 37# Nangang District, Harbin, 150001 Heilongjiang Province PR. China.

Dr. Sunil Trivedi

U. N. Mehta Institute of Cardiology and Research Centre Civil Hospital, Asarwa, Ahmedabad 380 016 India

Dr. Mohamed Farouk Elshal

Biochemistry Department Faculty of Science King Abdulaziz University Jeddah - KSA

Dr. Frank A. Chervenak

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology New York Weill Cornell Medical Center USA

Dr. Ancha Baranova

School of Systems Biology, David King Hall, MSN 3E1 George Mason University USA

Dr. Vesna Mandusic

The Vinca institute for Nuclear Sciences Laboratory for Radiobiology and Molecular Genetics Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. Julhash Uddin Kazi

Experimental Clinical Chemistry Department of Laboratory Medicine Lund University Sweden

Instructions for Author

Electronic submission of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The **cover letter** should include the corresponding author's full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author's surname, as an attachment.

Article Types

Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

Regular articles: These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

Short Communications: A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

Reviews: Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review.

Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the JPP to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

Regular articles

All portions of the manuscript must be typed double-spaced and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

The **Title** should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The **Abstract** should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 key words that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

The **Introduction** should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

Materials and methods should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail. **Results** should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

The Discussion should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

The Acknowledgments of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

Tables should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed doublespaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

Figure legends should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

References: In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Cole (2000), Steddy et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Bane and Jake, 1992), (Chege, 1998; Cohen, 1987a,b;Tristan, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001)

References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:

Ansell J, Hirsh J, Poller L (2004). The pharmacology and management of the vitamin K antagonists: the Seventh ACCP Conference on Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic. Therapy. 126:204-233

Ansell JE, Buttaro ML, Thomas VO (1997). Consensus guidelines for coordinated outpatient oral anti coagulation therapy management. Ann. Pharmacother. 31:604-615

Charnley AK (1992). Mechanisms of fungal pathogenesis in insects with particular reference to locusts. In: Lomer CJ, Prior C (eds), Pharmaceutical Controls of Locusts and Grasshoppers: Proceedings of an international workshop held at Cotonou, Benin. Oxford: CAB International. pp 181-190.

Jake OO (2002). Pharmaceutical Interactions between *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. and fluorescent rhizosphere bacteria Of *Zea mays*, L. and *Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench for Striga suicidal germination In *Vigna unguiculata*. PhD dissertation, Tehran University, Iran.

Furmaga EM (1993). Pharmacist management of a hyperlipidemia clinic. Am. J. Hosp. Pharm. 50: 91-95

Short Communications

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (email attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage. **Fees and Charges**: Authors are required to pay a \$550 handling fee. Publication of an article in the Journal of Medical Laboratory and Diagnosis (JMLD) is not contingent upon the author's ability to pay the charges. Neither is acceptance to pay the handling fee a guarantee that the paper will be accepted for publication. Authors may still request (in advance) that the editorial office waive some of the handling fee under special circumstances.

Copyright: © 2013, Academic Journals.

All rights Reserved. In accessing this journal, you agree that you will access the contents for your own personal use but not for any commercial use. Any use and or copies of this Journal in whole or in part must include the customary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, date and article title.

Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

Disclaimer of Warranties

In no event shall Academic Journals be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind arising out of or in connection with the use of the articles or other material derived from the JMLD, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications does not imply endorsement of that product or publication. While every effort is made by Academic Journals to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statements appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Academic Journals makes no warranty of any kind, either express or implied, regarding the quality, accuracy, availability, or validity of the data or information in this publication or of any other publication to which it may be linked.



academicJournals

Vol. 4(4), pp. 45-49, October, 2013 DOI: 10.5897/JMLD2013.075 ISSN 2141-2618 ©2013 Academic Journals http://www.academicjournals.org/JMLD

Full Length Research Paper

Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infection among pregnant women at Omdurman Maternity Hospital

Khairi S. I.¹, Intisar K. S.², Enan K. H.³, Ishag M. Y.⁴, Baraa A. M.² and Ali Y. H.^{2*}

¹Odurman Islamic University, Faculty of Medicine, Sudan.

²Central Veterinary Research Laboratory, Virology Department, P.O. Box 8067, Al Amarat, Khartoum, Sudan. ³Central Laboratory, Ministry of Science and Technology Khartoum, Sudan.

⁴Central Veterinary Research Laboratory, Pathology Department, P.O. Box 8067, Al Amarat, Khartoum, Sudan.

Accepted 9 September, 2013

This study was conducted to determine the seroprevalence of human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) among pregnant women at Omdurman Maternity Hospital between the period January 2009 and June 2009. Descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in Omdurman Maternity Hospital; blood was taken from pregnant women that came for delivery and investigated for cytomegalovirus specific immunoglobin G (IgG) and immunoglobin M (IgM) antibodies using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Demographic and clinical data were collected by questionnaire after a written consent. A total of 200 pregnant women were included in this study. The ages of all women tested ranged from 18 to 43 years. Out of the 200 pregnant women tested, 195 (97.5%) and 12 (6.0%) were CMV IgG and CMV IgM positive, respectively. The age was associated with CMV IgM and history of miscarriage was significantly associated with CMV IgG positive women, while parity, congenital abnormalities, educational level, and occupation were not significantly (P > 0.05) associated with CMV infection.

Key words: Seroprevalence, human cytomegalovirus (HCMV), pregnant women.

INTRODUCTION

The human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) or human herpes virus 5 is one of the major causes of congenital infections (Kenneson and Cannon, 2007; Dollard et al., 2007; Cannon, 2009; Munro et al., 2005; Sotoodeh et al., 2010). Its clinical manifestations range from asymptomatic forms (90% of cases) to severe fetal damage, and in rare cases, death due to miscarriage. Furthermore, 10 to 15% of the children who are asymptomatic at birth may develop late sequelae, especially hearing defects, after a period of months or even years (Massimo et al., 2009).

HCMV can be transmitted via saliva, sexual contact, placental transfer, breast feeding, blood transfusion and solid-organ transplantation (Bowden, 1991). Latency following a primary infection may be punctuated by periodic reactivations that give rise to recurrent infections, or recurrent infections. Although the mechanisms and the pathogenesis of intrauterine transmission and severe fetal infection in the presence of preexisting maternal immunity are unknown, an analysis of CMV strainspecific antibody responses revealed an association between intrauterine transmission of CMV and reinfection with new or different virus strains in sero-immune women (Bappona, 2001), but it is likely that most recurrent infections are due to reinfection. The risk of congenital infection is much higher during primary infection (Fowler and Boppana, 2006). It has been reported that the risk of fetal damage is greater if the primary infection occurs during the first trimester of pregnancy (Adler and Marshall, 2007).

and *in utero* transmission may occur during either primary

*Corresponding author. E-mail: y_beik@hotmail.com.

46

CMV is a slow replicating virus from the herpes family, infecting only as many as 1% of all neonates in developed countries, but demonstrating up to 90% immunoglobin G (IgG)-positivity in developing countries (Cannon, 2010). As well as increasing with age, CMV seroprevalence may also depend on sexual activity and occupation, particularly occupations involving close contacts with children in a community setting. In the case of parents, contact with the urine or saliva of their children is a major source of infection (Adler, 1991).

CMV is the most common and serious congenital infection, because it occurs after both primary and recurrent infection in pregnancy and is a major cause of childhood deafness and neurological handicap (Nigro, 2009, Colugnati et al., 2007).

High CMV seroprevalence (98.3%) among pregnant women was reported (Nahla et al., 2011). Previous study conducted at Omdurman Maternity Hospital revealed that the seroprevalence of CMV IgG antibodies among pregnant women was 95% (Kafi et al., 2009). A recent study conducted at EI-Rahad hospital in Western Sudan reported that the seroprevalence of CMV among pregnant women was 72.2 and 2.5% for CMV IgG and CMV immunoglobin M (IgM), respectively (Hamdan et al., 2011).

Various other unpublished observations emphasize the clinical importance of CMV infections among pregnant women and its detrimental consequences to their infants in Sudan; however, work on IgM and its association with congenital anomalies of neonates is very scares, this study was intended to know the magnitude of this problem in Sudan and to know if there is a need for vaccination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study conducted in Omdurman Maternity Hospital between January 2012 and June 2012. A total of 200 pregnant ladies attending the hospital were selected randomly from all women that came for delivery during the study period. Omdurman Maternity Hospital is the biggest maternity hospital in Khartoum. Khartoum is the capital of Sudan with an area of 28.140 km². The total population is about 6000000. It is divided into three provinces: Khartoum, Omdurman and Bahary. The hospital serves population of these provinces; area around Khartoum and in addition to referred patients from other states of Sudan, total deliveries was about 27000 in 2011. A total of two hundred venous blood samples were collected. The blood samples were collected under aseptic conditions, allowed to clot, centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min, and sera were collected in sterile containers and stored at -40°C until tested. The Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) was used to detect the specific HCMV IgG and IgM antibodies. A well constructed questionnaire was filled by the investigator; a written consent was obtained from all the women that participated.

RESULTS

Overall prevalence of anti-CMV IgG antibodies in pregnant women attending Omdurman Maternity Hospital for delivery was 97.5%. 195 women out of 200 women studied were positive for CMV IgG, while only 6% were CMV IgM positive. The results showed that the highest anti-CMV IgG seropositivity rate was among those with 40 years and more, while the lowest rate was among women less than 20 years old (Table 1).

CMV seropositivity was analyzed with respect to parity. No statistically significant difference was found between primigravidas and multiparous women on CMV infection (Table 2). Out of the 195 women who were CMV IgG positive, 8 women (4.2%) were reported on having child with congenital abnormalities, while no one from the negative group was reported on having congenitally formed child. In this study, no significant difference (P > 0.05) was found between working and non working women in CMV seropositivity (Table 3). The study demonstrates that the level of education of pregnant women had no effect on CMV seropositivity (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

This study revealed that the prevalence of CMV in pregnant women is very high, anti-CMV IgG antibodies was found in 97.5% of the cases, while 6% of the subjects tested positive for anti-CMV IgM.

The detection of CMV IgG indicated that the pregnant women had previously been infected with CMV. After CMV infection, IgG remains in the body for life and protects considerably against the next infections. This indicates that a negative results of CMV IgG test means that the women have not been infected with the virus.

The seroprevalance of CMV IgG observed in this study was similar to the results reported in Sudan by Nahla et al. (2011) which was 98.3% and Kafi et al. (2009) which was (95%). The picture of CMV prevalence in different countries is almost similar to our results; 96% in Egypt (El-Nawawy et al., 1996), 97.2% in Nigeria (Akinbami et al., 2011), 97.3% in Turkey (Uyar et al., 2008), 98.1% in Korea (Seo et al., 2009), and 95.6% in China (Meng et al., 2011). However, the results of this study were higher than those reported by Picone et al. (2009) in France (46.8%), Alanen et al. (2005) in Finland (56.3%), and Staras et al. (2006) in the United State (60.0%). It seems that the prevalence of CMV infection observed in this study was similar to that reported in other developing communities but higher than in the developed communities. This may be attributed to the low socioeconomic status and poor hygienic practices which might play important roles in increasing the rate of CMV infection. It was previously documented that seroprevalence of CMV among women varies with geographical location, socioeconomic status and occupation (Awosere et al., 1999).

In the present study, the rate of positive CMV IgM was 6.0% among tested pregnant women, which reflected an active recent infection or reactivation of the virus. This finding was higher than that of Hamdan et al. (2011) in Western Sudan who reported the rate of positive CMV

A (M)	No. tested	Anti-CMV	IgG positive	CMV IgM positive		
Age group (Years)		No.	%	No.	%	
>20	38	36	94.7*	2	5.2	
20-29	78	76	97.4	4	5.1	
30-39	74	73	98.6	3	4	
≥ 40	10	10	100	3	30	
Total	200	195	97.5	12	6	

Table 1. Distribution of CMV seropositive women according to age group.

CMV: Cytomegalovirus.

 Table 2. The effect of frequency of parities on CMV IgG and IgM seropositivity among pregnant women.

Serological marker (Anti-CMV antibodies)		Frequency of parities				
		1 time	2 times	3 times	< 3 times	
	Desitive	Frequency	62	38	21	74
	Positive Frequency 62 38 21 74 % 31.0 19.0 10.5 37.5 Negative Frequency 2 2 1 0 % 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.0 Positive Frequency 2 3 3 4					
Anti-CMV IgG	Negative	Frequency	2	2	1	0
		%	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.0
	$gG = \begin{cases} Positive \\ Positive \\ Megative \\ Negative \\ Negative \\ Negative \\ Negative \\ Negative \\ Positive \\ Positive \\ Prequency \\ Frequency \\ Megative \\ Positive \\ Prequency \\ Megative \\ Prequency $	Frequency	2	3	3	4
		2.0				
Anti-Civiv Igivi	Negative	Frequency	62	38	22	66
		%	31.0	19.0	11.0	32.0

CMV: Cytomegalovirus.

Table 3. Distribution of CMV seropositive women according to occupation, history of abortion and history of congenital anomaly baby.

Variable	CMV IgG positive	CMV IgG negative	Comment
Occupation			
Workers (%)	110 (56.4)	2 (40)	
Not workers (%)	85 (43.6)	3 (60)	
Congenital anomaly			
Yes (%)	8 (4.2)	0	
No (%)	187 (95.8)	5 (100)	
History of miscarriage			
Yes (%)	20 (10.2)	0	
No (%)	175 (89.8)	5 (100)	

IgM as 2.5%; however, far higher CMV IgM seroprevalence (94.3%) in neonates was recently reported in Sudan (Nahla et al., 2011). Variable IgM positivity were reported worldwide, only 1.0% in Turkey (Uyar et al., 2008), 2.5% in Iran (Bagheri et al., 2012) and 1.7% in Korea (Seo et al., 2009). However, the findings of this study were in agreement with that obtained by Saraswathy et al. (2011) in Malaysia (7.2%), but lower than that reported by Arabzadeh et al. (2005) in Iran (33 %) and Lone et al. (2004) in Kashmir valley (15.98%).

Our study showed a significant association (P < 0.05) between the history of miscarriage and CMV IgG seropositivity, and this may be due to infection by CMV earlier in reproductive live causing miscarriage.

In this study, a significant association (P < 0.05) was found between the age of pregnant women and CMV IgM seropositivity. Majority of CMV IgM positive women were above 30 years of age. This finding agreed with the

No toolod	Anti-CMV IgG positive		
No. tested	No.	%	
18	18	100	
85	84	98.8	
44	43	97.7	
47	46	97.8	
6	6	100	
200	195	-	
	No. tested 18 85 44 47 6 200	Anti-CMV No. 18 18 85 84 44 43 47 6 6 200	

 Table 4. Anti-CMV IgG seropositivity rate by educational levels.

results of Bate et al. (2010) in the United States. However, our finding disagreed with the results obtained by Hamdan et al. (2011) in Western Sudan, which could be attributed to the difference in the mean of age for tested women. According to this finding, pregnant woman above 30 years of age were at higher risk of CMV infection.

In this study, the parity, gestational age, congenital abnormalities, educational level, residence and occupation were not significantly (P > 0.05) associated with CMV infection among pregnant women. However, other authors reported significant association of these risk factors to CMV infection among pregnant women (Hamdan et al., 2011; Bagheri et al., 2012). The fact that there were no differences related to the age of the women indicates the same behavior at different ages.

The findings of our study indicated high prevalence of CMV seropositivity among pregnant women at Omdurman Maternity Hospital. Furthermore, the results showed that maternal age was a main risk factor for CMV reinfection or new infection. IgG avidity test should be used to distinguish primary and recurrent infection, and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is essential for accurate diagnosis of CMV infection. CMV infection may play an important role in miscarriage. Introduction of national screening and immunization is a matter of discussion especially in areas with high prevalence of IgG and poor countries like Sudan.

REFERENCES

- Adler SP (1991). Cytomegalovirus and child day care: risk factors for maternal infection. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. 10(8):590-594.
- Adler SP, Marshall B (2007). Cytomegalovirus infections. In: Pediatrics in Review. J. Am. Acad. Pediatr. 28(3):92-100.
- Akinbami AA, Rabiu KA, Adewunmi AA, Wright KO, Dosunmu AO, Adeyemo TA, Adediran A, Osunkalu VO (2011). Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus antibodies amongst normal pregnant women in Nigeria. Int. J. W. H. 3:423-428.
- Alanen A, Kahala K, Vahlberg T, Koskela P, Vainionpää R (2005). Seroprevalence, incidence of prenatal infections and reliability of maternal history of varicella zoster virus, cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex virus and parvovirus B19 infection in South-Western Finland. BJOG: Int. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 112(1):50-56.
- Arabzadeh AM, Mosavat SA, Eftekhari N (2007). Seroepidemiology of Human Cytomegalovirus in pregnant women in Keman City during 2005. J. Kerman Univ. Med. Sci. 14(4):279-288.

- Awosere KE, Arinola OG, Uche LN (1999). Hepatitis B Virus Seroprevalence among pregnant woman in University College Hospital, Ibadan. J. Med. Lab. Sci. 8:77-82.
- Boppana SB, Rivera LB, Fowler KB, Mach M, Britt WJ (2001). Intrauterine Transmission of Cytomegalovirus to Infants of Women with Preconceptional Immunity. N. Engl. J. Med. 344:1366-1371.
- Bagheri L, Mokhtarian H, Sarshar N, Ghahramani M (2012). Seroepidemiology of cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy in Gonabad, east of Iran: a cross-sectional study. J. Res. Health Sci.12 (1):38-44.
- Bate SL, Dollard SC, Cannon MJ (2010). Cytomegalovirus seroprevalence in the United States: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, 1988-2004. Clin. Infect. Dis. 50(11):1439-1447.
- Bowden RA (1991). Cytomegalovirus infection in transplant patients: methods of prevention of primary cytomegalovirus. J. Transplant Proc. 23:136-138.
- Cannon MJ (2009). Congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) epidemiology and awareness. J. Clin. Virol. 46:6-10.
- Cannon MJ, Schmid DS, Hyde TB (2010). Review of cytomegalovirus seroprevalence and demographic characteristics associated with infection. Rev. Med. Virol. 20(4):202-213.
- Colugnati FAB, Staras SAS, Dollard SC, Cannon MJ (2007). Incidence of cytomegalovirus infection among the general population and pregnant women in the United States. BMC Infect. Dis. 1471-2334,7-71. P
- Dollard SC, Grosse SD, Ross DS (2007). New estimates of the prevalence of neurological and sensory sequelae and mortality associated with congenital cytomegalovirus infection. Rev. Med. Virol. 17:355-363.
- El-Nawawy A, Soliman AT, El Azzouni O, Amer El-S, Karim MA, Demian S, El Sayed M (1996). Maternal and neonatal prevalence of toxoplasma and cytomegalovirus (CMV) antibodies and hepatitis-B antigens in an Egyptian rural area. J. Trop. Pediatr. 42(3):154-157.
- Fowler KB, Boppana SB (2006). Congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection and hearing deficit. J. Clin. Virol. 35:226-231.
- Hamdan ZH, Abdelbagi IE, Nasser NM, Adam I (2011). Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus and rubella among pregnant women in western Sudan. Virol. J. 8:217-220.
- Kafi SK, Eldouma EY, Saeed SBM, Musa HA (2009). Seroprevalence of Cytomegalovirus among blood donors and antenatal women attending two hospitals in Khartoum State. Sudan J. Med. Sci. 4(4):399-401.
- Kenneson A, Cannon MJ (2007). Review and meta-analysis of the epidemiology of congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection. Rev. Med. Virol. 17:253-276.
- Lone R, Fomda BA, Thokar M, Wani T, Kakru D, Shaheen R, Nazi A (2004). Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus in Kashmir valley. J K Practitioner. 11(4):261-262.
- Massimo D, Carlo A, Maria TM, Alessia P, Pierangelo C (2009). Incidence and Risk of Cytomegalovirus Infection during Pregnancy in an Urban Area of Northern Italy. J. Infect. Dis. Obstet. Gynecol. 1-5.
- Meng Li-li, Chen H, Tan JP, Wang Zheng-hua, Zhang R, Fu S, Zhang JP (2011). Evaluation of etiological characteristics of Chinese women with recurrent spontaneous abortions: a single-centre study. Chinese Med. J. 124(9):1310-1315.
- Munro SCB, Hall LR, Whybin L, Leader P, Robertson G, Maine T, Rawlinson WD (2005). Diagnosis of and screening for cytomegalovirus infection in pregnant women. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43:4713-4718.
- Nahla KH, Ali YH, Enan KH (2011). Studies on congenital infections in Sudan: Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus. J. Sci. Tech. 12(4):82-90.
- Nigro G (2009). Maternal-fetal cytomegalovirus infection: from diagnosis to therapy. J. Matern. Fetal Neonatal Med. 22(2):169-174.
- Picone OC, Vauloup F, Cordier AGI, Parent Du C, Senat MV, Frydman R, Grangeot-Keros L (2009). A 2-year study on cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy in a French hospital. Br. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 116(6):818-823.
- Saraswathy TS, Az-Ulhusna A, Asshikin RN, Suriani S, Zainah S (2011). Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infection in pregnant women and associated role in obstetric complications: a preliminary study. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health. 42(2):320-322.

48

- Seo S, Cho Y, Park J (2009). Serologic screening of pregnant Korean women for primary human cytomegalovirus infection using IgG avidity test. Korean J. Lab. Med. 29(6):557-562.
- Sotoodeh A, Mahin J, Mohammad RF, Abdolhosin M, Malihe A, Tasnim EE, Sima H (2010). Cytomegalovirus immunity in South of Iran. Am. J. Infect. Dis. 6:8-12.
- Staras SAS, Dollard SC, Radford KW, Flanders WD, Pass RF, Cannon MJ (2006). Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infection in the United States, 1988-1994. Clin. Infect. Dis. 43(9):1143-1151.
- Uyar Y, Balci A, Akcali A, Cabar C (2008). Prevalence of rubella and cytomegalovirus antibodies among pregnant women in northern Turkey. New Microbiol. J. 31(4):451-455.

academicJournals

Vol. 4(4), pp. 50-53, October, 2013 DOI: 10.5897/JMLD2013.0070 ISSN 2141-2618 ©2013 Academic Journals http://www.academicjournals.org/JMLD

Full Length Research Paper

Towards the development of rapid biofilm antibiotic sensitivity testing (BAST)

AL Quthami Khalid¹*, Bassam O. AlJohny² and Milton Wainwright³

¹Regional Laboratory, MOH, Makkak, Saudi Arabia.

²Biological Science Department, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P, O, Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

³Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2TN, United Kingdom.

Accepted 9 September, 2013

This study presents a modification of the antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST), which is a rapid means of determining the response of planktonic bacteria to different antimicrobial agents, for application to biofilms. Colony biofilm was first developed on a cellulose filter/membrane disc, over which an antibiotic disc was imposed. Zone of inhibition was measured after incubation on nutrient agar. Biofilms were not as susceptible to the test antibiotics as compared to the planktonic cultures. The results point to the possibility of this method as a rapid means for antibiotics for treating biofilm infections. Limitations and potential application for biofilm AST are discussed.

Key words: Biofilm, antibiotic susceptibility testing.

INTRODUCTION

The antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) is a rapid means of determining the response of bacteria to different antimicrobial agents. AST utilizes the disc diffusion method, which is simple and widely used for sensitivity testing (Bauer et al., 1966; Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2009). Disc diffusion is very flexible, with a wide variety of antibiotic discs of varying concentrations available. This test effectively identifies organisms that are susceptible/resistant to certain antibiotics through visual means. Identification of effective antibiotics will lead to the successful treatment of bacterial infections. However, the test is conducted on planktonic cultures, which differ from biofilms in their response to antimicrobials *in vivo* (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

Studies show that 80% of infectious diseases are due to biofilm bacteria that are more difficult to treat than planktonic bacteria. (Lewis, 2005; Walters et al., 2003). Most biofilm antibiotic susceptibility tests utilized polystyrene wells for growing biofilms in vitro (Cerca et al., 2005; Ceri et al., 1999; Olson et al., 2002; Amorena et al., 1999). Results are not easily visualized compared to the disc assay. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a rapid test that will identify antibiotics for effectively treating biofilm infections.

This study presents a modification of the antibiotic susceptibility testing performed on planktonic cultures for application to biofilm cultures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of bacterial culture

Overnight cultures of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01 and *Staphylococcus aureus* SH1000 were prepared by dispersing a single colony in 5-ml test tubes in Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB). After incubation at 37° C in air with shaking, 500 µl of the overnight cultures were transferred to a 50 ml flask with 10 ml MHB, and

*Corresponding author. E-mail: khmrqu@hotmail.com.



Figure 1. Comparison of the results of the antibiotic sensitivity assay for (A) planktonic culture, and (B) biofilm of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01. Antibiotic discs: white, chloramphenicol; orange, imipenem; small greyish, cefoxitin; and blue, vancomycin.

incubated with shaking at 120 rpm at 37°C. After 4 h, the bacterial cultures were diluted to an optical density (OD) of 0.05 at 600 nm.

Initial antibiotic sensitivity tests

For the AST, 1 ml of the culture was added to 10 ml of lukewarm broth, and poured over brain heart infusion (BHI)agar plates for *S. aureus* SH1000 and Iso-SensitestTM Agar plates for *P. aeruginosa* PA0. Four antibiotic discs, with the following concentration were arranged over the agar: 30 µg chloramphenicol, 30 µg cefoxitin, 30 µg vancomycin, and 10 µg imipenem. The plates were incubated at 37°C in air for 48 h, after which the zone of inhibition was measured.

To perform the biofilm AST (BAST), cellulose ester discs or filters with 0.22 µm pore size and 7 mm diameter were sterilized by autoclaving for 15 min at 121°C. After cooling, the discs were soaked overnight in phosphate buffered saline. These were then dipped into overnight bacterial cultures that have been diluted to an OD 0.05 at 600 nm. The filters were placed carefully on the surface of BHI agar for *S. aureus* and Iso-Sensitest agar for *P. aeruginosa*, followed by incubation at 37°C for 48 h. Discs were then carefully removed and dipped in 4% human plasma in order to promote the adherence of bacteria to the membranes. After dipping, the membranes were placed onto new plates with BHI and Iso-Sensitest media. The same antibiotic discs used in the AST were then superimposed over the membrane filters and the zone of inhibition of bacterial growth was measured after 48 h.

Verification tests with strain-specific antibiotics

Using the same protocol as mentioned earlier, a second antibiotic sensitivity test was conducted; this time the antibiotics used were specific for the bacterial strains. The following antibiotics were tested on *S. aureus*: erythromycin (15 μ g), ampicillin (10 μ g), vancomycin (30 μ g), gentamicin (10 μ g) and cefotaxime (30 μ g). For *P. aeruginosa*, the following antibiotic discs and concentrations were utilized: amikacin (30 μ g), ceftazidime (30 μ g), ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), imipenem (10 μ g), and chloramphenicol (30 μ g).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The preliminary tests show the zones of inhibition of bacterial growth produced by the antibiotics (Figures 1 and 2). It is clear that the planktonic cultures were all sensitive to the 4 test antibiotics as compared to the biofilms. Figure 1 shows that it is only imipenem that was able to inhibit growth of the biofilm. *S. aureus* planktonic cultures exhibited overlapping zones while the growth zones in the biofilm were distinctly separated.

Table 1 summarizes the inhibitory action of the different antibiotics on the bacterial cultures of the two strains tested. In the preliminary trial, planktonic cultures of *S. aureus* were most sensitive to the four test antibiotics. *S. aureus* biofilm was sensitive to the action of three of the four antibiotics tested. However, the zones of inhibition were smaller than those observed for the planktonic cultures, which implies that the biofilms were able to grow despite the antibiotics. Disc zones were also observed around the antibiotics imipenem and chloramphenicol in planktonic cultures of *P. aeruginosa*. Imipenem was the only antibiotic that produced a zone of inhibition on the *P. aeruginosa* biofilm.

After the initial tests, strain-specific antibiotics were used. Planktonic *S. aureus* cultures differed in their response to the five test antibiotics. Its growth was highly inhibited by cefotaxime and ampicillin, while least inhibited by vancomycin. Compared to planktonic cultures, the biofilms were generally less affected by the antibiotics. Cefotaxime, which was highly inhibitory to planktonic growth, did not produce growth inhibition against the biofilm. The same was observed for vancomycin-treated biofilm. Erythromycin, ampicillin, and gentamicin all inhibited biofilm growth, but not as effectively as compared to



Figure 2. Comparison of the results of the antibiotic sensitivity assay for (A) planktonic culture, and (B) biofilm of *Staphylococcus aureus* SH1000. Antibiotic discs: white, chloramphenicol; orange, imipenem; small greyish, cefoxitin; and blue, vancomycin.

		Zone of inhibition (mm ± SD)				
Antibiotic		S. aureus SH1000		P. aeruginosa PA01		
		Planktonic	Biofilm	Planktonic	Biofilm	
Initial test	Cefoxitin	15 ± 0.5	0	0	0	
	Imipenem	25 ± 1.0	20 ± 2.5	13 ± 1.5	9 ± 0.6	
	Vancomycin	10 ± 2.0	5 ± 1.2	0	0	
	Chloramphenicol	25 ± 1.0	15 ± 1.2	12 ± 1.15	0	
Verification test	Erythromycin	15 ± 1.0	8 ± 1.0	Not tested	Not tested	
	Ampicillin	25 ± 1.5	8 ± 2.0	Not tested	Not tested	
	Vancomycin	8 ± 0.6	0 ± 0	Not tested	Not tested	
	Gentamicin	15 ± 1.5	10 ± 2.0	Not tested	Not tested	
	Cefotaxime	23 ± 1.0	0 ± 0	Not tested	Not tested	
	Amikacin	Not tested	Not tested	20 ± 1.7	6 ± 0.6	
	Ceftazidime	Not tested	Not tested	20 ± 0.6	6 ± 1.5	
	Ciprofloxacin	Not tested	Not tested	30 ± 2.5	10 ± 2.0	
	Imipenem	Not tested	Not tested	25 ± 1.2	0 ± 0	
	Chloramphenicol	Not tested	Not tested	0 ± 0	0 ± 0.6	

Table 1. The zone of inhibition of specific antibiotics on the growth of planktonic and biofilm cultures of *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*.

*Values are means of 3 replicates. SD: Standard deviation.

their inhibition of planktonic cultures. Except for gentamicin, growth of biofilms was more than 50% higher in the presence of erythromycin and ampicillin; gentamicin proved to be most inhibitory of the antibiotics to the *S*. *aureus* biofilm.

In the planktonic culture of *P. aeruginosa*, four of the five test antibiotics were able to produce zones of growth

inhibition ranging from 20 to 30 mm (Table 1). Based on the zone of inhibitions produced, the growth of biofilms was 70% more than planktonic growth despite the addition of amikacin, ceftazidime, and ciprofloxacin. In the case of imipenem, it was highly effective against planktonic cells, but not against the biofilm. This last result was not in agreement with results of the initial tests where imipenem inhibited biofilm growth of *P. aeruginosa*. Chloramphenicol was ineffective against *P. aeruginosa* because there was no zone of inhibition produced in both planktonic cells and biofilms.

The presence of some conflicting results indicates that more precision is necessary in carrying out the antibiotic tests. As in the case of the antibiotic sensitivity test for planktonic cultures, strict quality standards have to be met before BAST is performed. Extreme care is necessary during the preparation of the materials (that is, culture media, pH, and antibiotic discs) for the test. In addition, the preparation of biofilms on membranes has to be perfected to ensure that growth is homogeneous and there are no contaminating strains. Although such a condition is ideal; since natural biofilms are heterogeneous (Boles et al., 2004), a single strain biofilm could prove sufficient for antibiotic sensitivity testing. The interpretation of the antibiotic disc inhibition zones has to follow standards in order to attain reliable results and to give proper recommendation. Therefore, the standards for the performance and the interpretation of BAST results also have to be developed.

Conclusion

The proposed BAST method appears to be effective in identifying antibiotics for treating biofilm infection. These preliminary results show that there is a potential of developing BAST as a sensitive assay for biofilms. More studies should be conducted for producing biofilms *in vitro*, standardizing methods, reagents, and conditions for BAST.

REFERENCES

- Amorena B, Gracia E, Monzon M, Leiva J, Oteiza Z, Perez M, Alabart J, Hernandez-Yago J (1999). Antibiotic susceptibility assay for *Staphylococcus aureus* in biofilms developed *in vitro*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 44:43-55.
- Bauer A, Kirby W, Sherris J, Turk M (1966). Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am. J. Clin. Path. 45:493-496.
- Boles R, Singh P (2008). Endogenous oxidative stress produces diversity and adaptability in biofilm communities. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 105(34):12503-12508.
- Cerca N, Martins S, Cerca F, Jefferson KK, Pier GB, Oliveira R, Azeredo J (2005). Comparative assessment of antibiotic susceptibility of coagulase-negative Staphylococci in biofilm versus planktonic culture as assessed by bacterial enumeration or rapid XTT colorimetry. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 56(2):331-336.
- Ceri H, Olson ME, Stremick C, Read RE, Morck DW, Buret AG (1999). The Calgary Biofilm Device: New technology for rapid determination of antibiotic susceptibilities in bacterial biofilms. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37:1771-1776.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2009). Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests. Approved standard M2-A10. Wayne, PA.
- Jorgensen J, Ferraro M (2009). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing: a review of general principles and contemporary practices. Clin. Infect. Dis. 49(11):1749-1755.
- Lewis K (2005). Persister cells and the riddle of biofilm survival. Biochemistry (Moscow). 70:267-274.
- Olson ME, Ceri H, Morck DW, Buret AG, Read RR (2002). Biofilm bacteria: formation and comparative susceptibility to antibiotics. Can. J. Vet. Res. 66:86-92.
- Walters M, Roe F, Bugnicourt A, Franklin M, Stewart P (2003). Contributions of antibiotic penetration, oxygen limitation, and low metabolic activity to tolerance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms to ciprofloxacin and tobramycin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47(1):317-323.

13th National Congress of Medical Biology and Genetics, Aydin, Turkey, 29 Oct 2013



13th Congress of the Asia-Pacific Federation for Clinical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine (APCCB 2013), Bali, Indonesia, 27 Oct 2013



Conferences and Advert

October 2013 10th International Congress on Coronary Heart Disease, Florence, Italy, 13-16 Oct 2013

Journal of Medical Laboratory and Diagnosis

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

 Journal of Oral Medicine and Diagnosis
 Journal of Clinical Medicine and Research
 Journal of Cancer Research and Experimental Oncology
 Journal of Radiography and Imaging
 Journal of Respiratory Medicine and Research
 Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy
 Clinical Reviews and Opinions

academic**lournals**